February 1, 2010

Volume 2. Issue 2

Hixson Consultants, Inc. 947 1st Avenue West Alabaster, Alabama 35007 Phone: 205-663-2220



Compass

Let Us Help Set Your Course For **Navigating Water Intrusion Problems**

Sealant Performance and Compatibility The Great Disconnect

Ben T. Hixson



HCI Team Members: • Ben Hixson, Principal Mobile: 205-382-0111

 Tony Wright, Sr. Consultant Mobile: 205-515-5300

 Greg L. Cunningham, Sr. Consultant Mobile: 205-907-3913

Mike Ray, Consultant Mobile: 205-876-3737

 Tyler Hixson. Sr. Technician Mobile: 205-994-0802

> Call our office at 205-663-2220 or visit our website www.bedocs.com

Contact us for educational generic **SEMINARS** on green roofing and sustainability.

See next issue for: Lasting Value and Jobs from Effective Restoration



complete access and connection system for installing rooftop equipment. The primary function is to With the extensive reliance on operation to the rooftop. Mounting and connection for ancillary components (such as GFI, disconnects and motor starters) is provided. REACH uses industry standard weatherproof connections for all types of conduit, tubing and cable for rooftop installations. The REACH is for both new installations and retrofits.

www.reachmfg.com

* Patent Pending

Visit their website:

We are convinced the majority of past use of urethane sealants. informed building Owners and Early silicone sealants were not Property Managers will choose available in more than five colthe superior performance offered ors. Architects couldn't obtain by neutral cured silicone sealants desired colors to match cladding in lieu of short-lived performance or to match door and window from urethane sealants for exterior joint openings. Under direct ors weren't available for ac-UV exposure heat oxidation can cause deterioration of urethane sealants in less than three years. The reported satisfactory performance of exposed urethane sealants is in the range of from five to eight years. Few if any Owners or Property Managers budget for and implement replacing failed airborne dirt. Caulkers charge joint sealant every three to eight years. The Great Disconnect is the short-term mind set of creating building envelope barrier systems and over all sealing exposed exterior joint openings with urethane sealants.

Compared with urethane sealants, neutral cure silicone sealants have satisfactory performance service lives exceeding twenty years. After adequate initial testing for compatibility and mately two times the cost of adhesion and documentation of field peel adhesion testing performance verification, the sealant nity hasn't rapidly gravitated to manufacturers offer twenty-year weatherseal and adhesion warranty coverage. The coverage is to remedy defective materials and includes replacing failed sealants. The Great Disconnect is not including specification requirements for the caulkers and other installers sealant obtaining twenty-year warranty coverage for exterior joint sealants.

transport the MEP Services for unit sealants for building envelope without consequential damages. waterproofing and with vastly superior service life from silicone | Sealant | compatibility | with | air sealants, why does the design- barriers, moisture-resistive barriconstruction team focus on ure- ers and self-adhered modified thane? There are several perform- bitumen wraps and flashings is

framing. Likewise, different colcents. Urethane sealants bond more readily to common building materials without use of primers. Early silicone sealants had objectionable staining of adjoining porous cladding and accents. Early silicone sealants took longer to cure and collected more to install silicone sealants. Finally, initial cost of urethane sealants was one-third the cost of early neutral cure silicone sealants.

The Great Disconnect in 2009 is that with silicone sealant color options greatly expanded, improved adhesion, no staining of most cladding, fast cure times, competitive installation costs and cost of materials approxiquality urethane sealants, the green building design commurequiring neutral cure silicone sealants. When sustainability is a critical design factor exposed exterior joint sealants should be neutral cure silicone sealants or one of the other high performance long-term warranty coverage polymeric joint sealants. Analyzing costs associated with replacing failed exposed exterior joint sealants the life cycle cost advantage clearly goes to neutral cure silicone sealants even

ance and aesthetic reasons for most important to long-term

adhesion. Adequate adhesion can only be obtained with sealant and building wrap and flashing compatibility. Sealant selection needs to be driven by substrate component manufacturer's recommended sealants. Incompatibility issues arise when a single joint sealant is installed at all joint openings and in conjunction with all types of air barriers, moisture barriers and self-adhered modified bitumen wraps and flashings. Urethane sealants are more compatible with barriers. wraps and flashings than neutral cure silicone sealants.

Too many times we find either no sealant installed or incompatible sealant installed. Consequences with no sealant and an incompatible sealant are similar when it comes to water intrusion. Also, the silicone sealant solvents may be denaturing the plastic and petroleum based barriers, wraps and flashings. That deterioration may make the likelihood for objectionable moisture entry an even greater possibility.

HCI recommends that the design-build team obtain written approval for sealant use when a specific sealant isn't on the barrier, wrap and flashing manufacturer's approved or recommended sealant list. Monitoring the sealant installation for the intended quality is necessary regardless of the sealant selection.

Think Like Water!



We want to share our Building Envelope observations with our valued clients and other individuals who may be interested in receiving our monthly publication. Call HCI today for cost effective solutions customized for your project.